UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Randall Joseph Eade,)	C/A No. 6:12-1087-JFA-KFM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	ORDER
)	
Sheriff Al Cannon; CCOH; Herbert Dreyton;)	
Ms. Frazier, Nursing Director; Dr. Jacobs; C/O)	
Sprotte; Sgt. Hewitt; Nurse Kohn; and Nurse)	
Williams,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

The *pro se* plaintiff, Randall Joseph Eade, brings this action pursuant 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging various violations of his civil rights.

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action¹ has prepared a Report and Recommendation and opines that the complaint should be dismissed in accordance with Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation and without a hearing.

The plaintiff was also advised of his right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on September 19, 2012. However, the plaintiff did not file any objections to the Report within the time limits prescribed. In fact,

1

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

on October 3, 2012, the copy of the Report and Recommendation mailed to the plaintiff was

returned from the U.S. Postmaster marked "Undeliverable." The plaintiff has previously

been ordered to keep the Clerk of Court advised in writing if his address changes and he has

not complied with that order.

After a careful review of the record, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation proper and

incorporated herein by reference. Accordingly, this action is dismissed with prejudice under

Rule 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Joseph F. anderson, J.

November 13, 2012 Columbia, South Carolina Joseph F. Anderson, Jr. United States District Judge